

# I asked ChatGPT about “we never went to the moon”

Here's what it said



140 likes, 55 comments, 7 shares

Share More options

I'm publishing this chat to show you what GPT can do.

It gave me 438 words in about 40 seconds.

That's a high school paper. Maybe a college paper.

In 40 seconds.

Forget whether you agree with the content of GPT's "paper." That's NOT my point.

Until recently, had you ever run into a program that could turn out this much *grammatically correct* English so quickly, in answer to a question?

Here we go:

ME: There are people who claim we never went to the moon. Describe their arguments and appropriate rebuttals to each argument.

GPT:

Claims that the moon landing was faked have persisted since the Apollo missions in the late 1960s and early 1970s. Here are some common arguments made by moon landing deniers and rebuttals to each:

**Argument: Lack of stars in photographs:** Some claim that the absence of stars in the photographs taken on the moon's surface is evidence of a hoax because stars should be visible in the sky.

**Rebuttal:** The lack of stars in the photographs can be explained by the exposure settings on the cameras used during the missions. The lunar surface was highly illuminated by the sun, causing the cameras to use fast shutter speeds, which made faint stars invisible in the background. Additionally, the cameras' exposure settings were optimized for capturing the bright lunar landscape, making the stars too dim to register on the film.

**Argument: Inconsistent shadows:** Critics argue that the shadows in photographs appear to be cast in different directions, suggesting multiple light sources and therefore a staged set.

**Rebuttal:** The apparent inconsistency in shadow angles can be explained by the uneven terrain and the sun's position in the lunar sky. The moon's surface is not flat, and natural topography can cause shadows to appear at different angles. Additionally, the lack of atmosphere on the moon means there is no scattering of light, resulting in harsh shadows with less diffusion.

**Argument: Fluttering flag:** Some skeptics point to the American flag planted on the moon, claiming that it appears to be fluttering in the wind, which would be impossible in the vacuum of space.

**Rebuttal:** The flag's appearance of fluttering is due to its design and the way it was deployed by the astronauts. The flag was attached to a horizontal rod along the top edge, causing it to unfurl and appear to wave as it was being set up. However, since there is no atmosphere on the moon, the flag would not actually flutter or move once it was planted.

**Argument: Radiation hazards:** Critics argue that the Van Allen radiation belts surrounding the Earth would have posed lethal risks to astronauts, making a journey through them impossible.

**Rebuttal:** While the Van Allen belts do contain high levels of radiation, the Apollo missions were carefully planned to minimize exposure. The spacecraft traveled through the thinnest parts of the belts at high speed, reducing the time astronauts were exposed to radiation. Additionally, the spacecraft's aluminum hull provided protection against the majority of radiation encountered.

**Argument: Lack of blast crater:** Some claim that the lunar module should have created a blast crater upon landing, similar to what would be expected from a rocket landing on Earth.

**Rebuttal:** The lack of a visible blast crater can be explained by the unique conditions of the moon's surface. The lunar module's descent engine was throttled back as it approached the surface, causing it to descend more gently and reducing the impact force. Additionally, the moon's surface is covered in a layer of fine dust called regolith, which can absorb the energy of the landing without creating a visible crater.

Overall, the arguments against the moon landing are largely based on misunderstandings of science, misconceptions about photography, and a lack of knowledge about the technical details of the Apollo missions. Extensive evidence, including photographs, videos, and moon rock samples, supports the authenticity of the moon landings.

—end of “paper”—

Some people will assume GPT had a stored answer to the moon question ready to go. That's not the case.

It found the info AND put it together AND printed it out on the page in 40 seconds.

Did you find any misspellings or grammatical mistakes? No?

OK. Now let me spring something very different on you.

Get a load of this:

I asked GPT—If I wanted you to present me with a plan for governing a theoretical country the size of, say, Germany, and I spelled out the principles that needed to be preserved, the ethical principles, and I gave you many details about the population, customs and traditions, and so on, could you come up with a detailed plan?

He said he certainly could, and he invited me to give him my requirements.

GPT:

Certainly, I can assist you in outlining a detailed plan for governing a theoretical country [...] based on the principles you specify, along with the ethical principles and other details provided. Please provide the principles, ethical guidelines, and any other specific details you'd like to incorporate into the plan, and I'll be happy to assist you further.

NOW we're getting into deep water. AI can and will produce such a plan. Fast.

Don't you think all sorts of power players have been consulting with AI and running hundreds, if not thousands of scenarios along this line?

Varying their inputs and receiving outputs?

Of course they have.


Globalists are intensely interested in shaping a plan for world governance. Why wouldn't they consult AI as a key "authority?" And why wouldn't they be impressed with AI's speed and comprehensive ability?

Globalists are also technocrats. So naturally they'd gravitate to a technology (AI) to obtain "the best information."

They wouldn't stop there. They'd use another aspect of AI to IMPLEMENT the global plan they chose.

THIS is one big reason why I keep hammering on AI. It's here, and it isn't going anywhere. It's here to stay.

-- Jon Rappoport



140 likes, 55 comments, 7 shares

Share More options

Previous

Next

## 55 Comments

Write a comment...

**Burung** Burung's Substack Apr 9  
The temperature on the moon in the sunlight is over 100 celsius (boiling), in the shadows it is under -100 (100 beneath freezing).  
Think about these temperatures.  
Think about the equipment's ability to function in these temperatures.  
The batteries.  
The cameras and film.  
It's very liberating when you crack it.  
LIKE (9) REPLY SHARE

**Martin T Robertson** Apr 9  
Thanks Jon, both for the confirmation that AI is carefully corralled, and that the bullshit NASA experts I was recently conversing with, will do anything, not to end up, back on the streets.  
Example: On X, when I tested their validity as expert 'scientists' who could use a one megabyte computer chip to control the massively complex dynamics, of getting to that 'cheese icon', then landing ...yadda yadda...and asking, why, after fifty years, they had lost all the architectural plans of those Saturn Rockets, and had not re-visited?  
They sent me videos and unique graphic, slow-motion footage, of the lunar module, blasting off, from the moon's surface, complete with colourful, ejectile effects, of the upper caosule, separating from the landing-apparatus, platform.  
Twas astoundingly beautiful and one of the best CGI productions, that Hollywood were proud of.  
Only problem was....  
You cannot photograph nor video, ejectile pyrotechnics, on a rock 'planet', which has no Oxygen!!!!  
They then decided to desist from their fluid communications.  
LIKE (9) REPLY SHARE

3 replies

2 replies

53 more comments...

Top Latest Discussions

COVID death total: this is MY BOMBHELL REPORT on the fraud

And guess what? It's simple. No charts, no graphs. You don't need a PhD in statistics to understand it...

OCT 31, 2022 · JON RAPPOPORT

## The first vaccine I didn't take

NOV 8, 2023 · JON RAPPOPORT

## The quote I keep coming back to, in explaining what is happening before our eyes

AUG 11, 2023 · JON RAPPOPORT

## Penn Dept of Ag raids Amish organic farmer—yeah, his food might be too healthy

And then where would we be? Big trouble; people have to stay sick for the system to work

JAN 16 · JON RAPPOPORT

## The vaccine fallacy almost no one mentions

OCT 30, 2023 · JON RAPPOPORT

## My crushing "Hot Zone" virus story no one in the press wants to understand

I haven't even seen reporter reporters picking up on it

JAN 3 · JON RAPPOPORT

## Robert Kennedy keeps fumbling, mumbling, and steering clear of vital issues

I kept saying he should be a one-issue candidate: poisonous killer VACCINES; that's where he's effective; that's where he's done great work

DEC 29, 2023 · JON RAPPOPORT

## COVID Was A Political Coup

During the Presidency of Donald Trump

MAR 26 · JON RAPPOPORT

## Something big is brewing in a Louisiana courtroom

The Judge is calling the defendant "Orvellian" and the defendant happens to be the federal government; The New York Times responds with lowlife...

JUL 21, 2023 · JON RAPPOPORT

## "I'm not against vaccines; I just want to make them cheaper and more lethal"

MAR 21 · JON RAPPOPORT

See all